

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

106

CRWP-9915 of 2020

Date of decision:16.12.2020

Jitender Yadav and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Ms.Damini Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners
Mr.Amit Mehta, Sr.DAG, Punjab
for respondents no.1 to 4
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

On 1.12.2020, the following order had been passed:-

“All the cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

By this petition, the petitioners seek protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.4 to 8 and seek a direction that petitioner no.2 be not forced to solemnize a marriage against her wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no.1 has joined petitioner no.2 to seek protection of

life and liberty, as petitioner no.2 (allegedly) is being forced to enter into a marriage with some other person that she does not want to marry.

Notice of motion is issued, with Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, learned AAG, Punjab, accepting notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 4, on the asking of the court. Respondents no.5 to 8 be served by way of normal process, with the notices issued to be returnable on 16.12.2020.

In the meanwhile, the age of both the petitioners be got determined by respondents no.3 and 4 from the educational institutions that they last attended.

Naturally, respondents no.2 to 4 shall ensure, in the meanwhile, that the lives and liberty of the petitioners, as also family members of petitioner no.1, are duly protected as per law, with petitioner no.2 not forced by her relatives to marry somebody whom she does not want to.

A gazetted officer is directed to file a reply to each and every paragraph of the petition before the next date of hearing.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

The report of the Registry is to the effect that even the process fee has not been filed pursuant to notice of motion having been issued.

Learned counsel for the petitioners today submits that the petitioners no longer wish to pursue this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with obviously nothing at all said with regard to the correctness or otherwise of whatever has been stated in the

petition. Naturally therefore, any proceedings instituted as per law, would continue.

16.12.2020
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No