

101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39517-2020
Date of Decision: 27.11.2020

Alijan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent-State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner in case FIR No.179 dated 27.03.2019, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 201 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Central Faridabad, District Faridabad.

[2]. Notice of motion.

[3]. Mr. Anmol Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana to accept notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

[4]. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits *inter alia* that the role of the petitioner in the present case is limited to simply identifying the person/co-accused Devender @ Aditya son of Suraj Mal, who had stood as surety with fake document for the original accused named Devender, son of Dhani Ram, and had no knowledge about the properties claimed to be owned by the said surety.

[5]. It transpires that by now the other accused/culprit Devender @ Aditya son of Suraj Mal has already been arrested and Challan against him has also been submitted while the original accused Devender son of Dhani Ram was already on Court bail.

[6]. In these circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required at this stage. As such, his prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed and he shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, he shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

[7]. Disposed off.

27.11.2020

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No