

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34294-2019

Date of decision : 17.01.2020

Surender and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rohit Choudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J (ORAL)

Reply has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1-State in Court today. The same is taken on record, subject to just exception. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.75 dated 30.08.2016, under Sections 307, 323, 498-A, 354-B, 506 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Women Fatehabad, District Fatehabad and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 26.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide order dated 26.08.2019 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 05.10.2019 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Fatehabad in pursuance to the direction of this Court. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel also submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Fatehabad and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

17.01.2020

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No