

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.111

CWP No. 20289 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: November 27, 2020

SONU KUMARI AND ANOTHER

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners submit that they are drawing salary lesser than their junior i.e. respondent No.3. Repeated representations have not been heeded. Even legal notice dated 14.07.2020 (Annexure P-7) has not been responded to. Similarly situated employees as the petitioners have been granted benefit vide order dated 21.11.2019 (Annexure P-8).

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 & 2 only.
3. Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and waives service.
4. Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondent or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

CWP No.20289 of 2020

5. The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the legal notice dated 14.07.2020 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law and rules as well as order dated 21.11.2019 (Annexure P-8) by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

November 27, 2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No