

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.106

CWP No.22469 of 2019

DATE OF DECISION: February 24, 2020

ASHOK KUMAR SHARMA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that partition applications are pending since the year 2006 and the proceedings have not yet been concluded.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that meanwhile, partition application No.139/3T dated 30.05.2006 has been decided but another partition application viz. 140/3T dated 30.05.2006 is still pending.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

4. Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Four copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. Considering the nature of the order being passed, filing of written statement is not necessary.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the partition application No.140/3T dated 30.05.2006 at the earliest but not later than three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

February 24, 2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No