In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M No. 39638 of 2020
Date of Decision: 09.12.2020

Paramjit Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab .....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

****

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No. 63 dated 24.6.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 307, 326 IPC (added subsequently) IPC registered at Police Station Ghuman, District Gurdaspur.

Custody certificate by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent, Central Jail, Kapurthala has been filed through e-mail. Copy of the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is case of version and cross-version and the petitioner has also suffered three injuries as well and he has also filed a criminal complaint against the complainant party which is pending. He further submits that out of 24 prosecution witnesses, no witness has been examined till date. Learned counsel further submits that the present FIR has been registered against 08 persons

GURPREET SINGH
2020.12.11 17:12
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chadigarh

including the petitioner, out of which 05 persons have been declared innocent and challan has been presented against three accused, out of which, two accused have been granted bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that it was the petitioner, who hit the tractor of complaint's father, namely Harjinder Singh, with his tractor, and as a result of this, Harjinder Singh fell down. Thereafter, petitioner-Paramjit Singh and Sarbabjit Singh caught hold their *datars* and the petitioner gave a *datar* blow upon Harjinder Singh which hit on his head. The petitioner also gave a *datar* blow to the complainant which hit on his forehead.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned State counsel.

In the present case, there are specific allegations against the petitioner that he gave a *datar* blow on the head of the father of the complainant with an intention to kill him and also gave a *datar* blow to the complainant which hit on his forehead. Thus, serious injuries have been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner had caused injury on the head of the complainant's father, which is a vital part of the body. The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature.

No ground for grant of regular bail to the petitioner is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

December 09, 2020
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

GURPREET SINGH
2020.12.11 17:12
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chadigarh