

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

CRM-M-39549-2020

Date of decision : 27.11.2020.

Sunil Pandey and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.287 dated 15.09.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 452, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Ellenabad, district Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the sister of the petitioners and the complainant, who is her husband. He also contends that the sister of the petitioners along with her two minor children has been turned out of the house by the complainant and they are residing with the petitioners. The allegations against the petitioners are that they had caused simple injuries to the complainant.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Darshan Kahlon, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and states that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioners, they are not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and in view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Therefore, the petitioners are directed to appear before the investigating officer within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on their doing so, they shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer till submission of report under Section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.' - for short). The petitioners shall continue to join investigation and shall furnish an undertaking that they shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. Thereafter, they will be permitted to furnish regular bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforementioned terms.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 27, 2020
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No