

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107)

CRWP no.9811 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.11.2020

Ritika and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sachin Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

By this petition, the petitioners seek protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.4 to 6, upon the petitioners having married each other (as contended) against the wishes of the said respondents, on 23.11.2020.

As regards proof of age of the petitioners, copies of their secondary school examinations have been annexed as Annexure P-2 and P-4 with the petition.

On a specific query put to learned counsel for the petitioners, it has been stated that neither are the petitioners in any prohibited relationship to each other, nor has any of them been married earlier. He states that he has obtained specific instructions from the petitioners in that regard.

Consequently, since protection of life and liberty is a fundamental right of every citizen under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, without making any comment whatsoever on the validity of the marriage, or otherwise,

this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not put to any harm or threat at the hands of the aforesaid respondents, or at their behest.

Further, it is made clear that if any of the averment made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status or their age, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings initiated as per law, including the provisions of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, offences committed under the provisions of that Act being cognizable in terms of Section 15 thereof.

26.11.2020

vcgarg

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:Yes
Whether Reportable	:No