

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20149-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 26.11.2020

Varinder Singh Khangura and others

...Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate,
Ms. Riti Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the notification (Annexure P-8) as well as corrigendum (Annexure P-9) dated 11.11.2020 giving concession of age relaxation and weightage of 10 marks for experience to the Sikhiya Provider, Education Provider, Education Volunteer, Education Guarantee Scheme Volunteer (EGSV), Alternative and Innovative Education Volunteer (AIEV) and Special Training Resource Volunteer (STRV) in contravention of the Punjab State Elementary Education (Teaching Cadre) Border Area Group C Service (First Amendment) Rules, 2020.

The petitioner has also challenged the notification dated 12.10.2020 (Annexure P-6) as well as corrigendum dated 20.10.2020 (Annexure P-5), whereby the Education Provider/Education Volunteer were exempted from passing the TET examination.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already made a representation (Annexure P-10) before the respondent-authorities which is pending consideration.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab on advance copy submits that the respondent-authorities shall consider and pass a detailed order on the representation filed by the petitioners expeditiously and communicate the same to the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned State counsel, we do not find any reason to entertain the present petition which is disposed of taking the statement of learned State counsel on record. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and, therefore, the authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter accept or reject the same in accordance with law.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

26.11.2020
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No