

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CWP No. 2542 of 2018

Date of decision : 11th September, 2020

Suresh Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Hooda, Advocate
for the Petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. In view of the judgment of the Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court in *Indore Development Authority v. Manoharlal AIR 2020 SC 1496* none of the issues raised in this petition survive. The petition is accordingly dismissed. The status quo order, if any, is vacated.

2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioners would like to approach the State Government under Section 101-A of the 2013 Act. Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Addl. A.G. Haryana points out that out of all the 3 parcels of land one was purchased subsequent to the notification issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act. It will be open to State Government to consider this aspect while dealing with representation under Section 101-A of the Act.

**(S.MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE**

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

11th September, 2020

Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No