

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP-20194-2020

Date of Decision : November 26, 2020

Baljit Singh

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. S.K. Goyat, Advocate, for the petitioner.
 Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, for
 respondents No.1 to 4 and 7.
 Mr. S.P. Jain, Additional Solicitor General for India, with
 Ms. Alisha Arora, Central Government Counsel, for
 respondent No.6.

...

Sanjay Kumar, J.

The petitioner challenges the order dated 24.07.2020 passed by the Additional Chief Secretary, Power Department, Government of Haryana, whereby he opined that no claim could be laid by certain land oustees against the State of Haryana and its Power Department for seeking jobs. Further, the petitioner seeks a direction to the authorities to provide him a job under the policy relating to Rehabilitation and Resettlement of Landlosers, inasmuch as his father's land was acquired for construction of the Gorakhpur Atomic Power Project.

While so, this Court is informed that several writ petitions, viz., **CWP-15659-2020**, titled '**Parmila vs. State of Haryana and others**', and batch, assailing the order dated 26.06.2020 passed on similar lines, were disposed of by common order dated 29.09.2020. A copy of the said order is placed on record. Perusal thereof reflects that this Court directed the Additional Chief Secretary, Power Department, Government of Haryana, to reconsider the claim of the petitioners therein by treating their writ petitions as representations.

As the petitioner stands identically situated, the same exercise may as well be undertaken in his case also. This writ petition is therefore disposed of with a direction to the Additional Chief Secretary, Power Department, Government of Haryana, to reconsider the petitioner's claim by treating the present writ petition as a representation. Due opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the petitioner before a final reasoned decision is taken in the matter. The reconsideration shall be completed expeditiously and in any event, not later than three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

No order as to costs.

November 26, 2020
Kang

(Sanjay Kumar)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No