

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39406-2020

Date of decision:17.12.2020

Jitender @ Meenu @ Hitesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.160 dated 19.08.2020 registered under Sections 379-B, 34 IPC, at Police Station Sector 6, Dharuhera, District Rewari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the FIR was registered against the unknown persons. The petitioner has been in custody since 19.08.2020. The petitioner was not identified by the complainant and rather on 31.08.2020 the complainant also executed an affidavit to the effect that the petitioner was not at fault.

On the other hand, learned State counsel states that the recovery of mobile phone was effected from the petitioner and co-accused namely Chiranji is yet to be arrested.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The offence under Section 379-B IPC is against a Society at large and, therefore, the accused must not be shown any leniency. Since, in

the present case, while committing the offence of snatching of the mobile of the complainant, the accused had inflicted knife blow upon the complainant, therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of regular bail. Even otherwise, if enlarged on bail, the petitioner may involve himself in similar other offences.

No case for grant of regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

17.12.2020
ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No