

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26831-2017

Date of Decision: 15.1.2020

Smt. Neena Kaushal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH.**

PRESENT: Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

During the course of hearing, the limited prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioners is for recalculation of interest in view of prevailing policy and judgment dated 17.10.2019 passed in **CWP-25115-2016 (Gurpreet Singh Bhullar and others v. State of Punjab and others) and other connected matters.** According to him, the authority cannot charge interest prior to offer of possession. To that extent, action of the authority is vitiated.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that the case of the petitioners shall be considered in light of the policy as well as judgment in **Gurpreet Singh Bhullar's case (supra)** and a speaking

certified copy of the order.

Petitioners are satisfied with the aforesaid statement.

In view of same, petition is hereby disposed of.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 15, 2020
gbs

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No