

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25087 of 2018
Date of Decision : 10.01.2020

Anuradha Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Satnam Sishodia, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Result of the petitioner has been produced in sealed cover along with cut off marks. However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the petitioner having been selected in response to some other advertisement and having joined government service, he is not interested to pursue the present writ petition and may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition.

2. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn. Result of the petitioner be resealed and returned to the learned DAG.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

10.01.2020.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No