

239.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9789-2020

Date of decision: 22.12.2020

AVANI WADHAWAN AND ANR

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. T.S. Hundal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this criminal writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ, order or direction to official respondents No.1 to 3, especially in the nature of mandamus to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6 for having solemnized marriage against their wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after filing of the present petition, the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 and fairly submits that the matter has

been compromised between the parties.

In view of the statement so made by counsel for respondents No.4 to 6, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed as such.

Since there is a specific order passed by this Court on 25.11.2020 wherein the petitioners were directed to remain present on the adjourned date through video conferencing, but as they are not present, they are burdened with costs of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited with the High Court Bar Association in the Lawyers' Welfare Fund.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

22.12.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No