

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2039-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.02.2020

Simpy Sharma

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - Ms. Shubhreet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. SPS Aulakh, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Service complete.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent No. 2 is taken on record. Be tagged at the appropriate place.

Through this revision, petitioner-complainant assailed the order dated 29.05.2019 of the trial Court, whereby her application under Section 311 Cr.P.C., for additional evidence was dismissed.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that he has no objection in setting aside the impugned order dated 29.05.2019, allowing the present revision, but, with a direction to the prosecution to conclude the evidence, at the earliest.

In view of no objection from learned counsel for respondent No. 2-accused, the instant revision is accepted. Impugned order dated 29.05.2019, is set aside. Application of the prosecution under Section

311 Cr.P.C., is allowed in *toto*, with a direction to prosecution/ complainant to lead its additional evidence whatsoever, on the adjourned date before the trial Court i.e. 25.02.2020 and also make endeavour to conclude the entire evidence on the said date, at its own responsibility.

February 11, 2020
rishu

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No