

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRWP No.9696 of 2020

Date of Decision:24.11.2020

VIJAY KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr.D.D.Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner has prayed for issuance of directions to the official respondents i.e. respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of his life and liberty from being invaded by respondent Nos. 4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, states that in the context of right to repair the house, the petitioner shall avail his legal remedy in accordance with law. So far as threat to his life and liberty is concerned, the petitioner has already made a representation before respondent Nos.2 and 3 on 28.10.2020 (Annexure P-2), which is still pending.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr.Hittan Nehra,
Addl.A.G.Punjab Accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents as no order prejudicial to interest of any party is being passed.

In view of above, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No. 3 to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded herein above. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioner is decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

November 24, 2020

anita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No