

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

**CRWP No.9688 of 2020
Date of Decision: 24.11.2020**

ROHIT KUMAR AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF U.T. AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate for
the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their lives and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. Petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 16.11.2020 on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners have approached the Senior Superintendent of Police,

Chandigarh /respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 17.11.2020 through email.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.J.S.Toor, APP, UT. Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, without meaning anything in the context of validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 24, 2020

anita

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No