

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108

CWP-19874-2020

Date of Decision: 24th November, 2020

Bijender Kumar

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Chandigarh Housing Board and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. From the facts narrated in the petition, it appears that the Petitioner is seeking to resolve a private dispute with Respondent No.2, by way of the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution.
2. The Court is of the considered view that the remedy sought by the Petitioner is misconceived and that he should work out his remedies *qua* Respondent No.2 in appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.
3. The petition is dismissed in above terms.

**(S. MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE**

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

24th November, 2020

Sachin M.

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No