

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.103

CWP No.20186 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: November 26, 2020

AMAR SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The case of the petitioners is that a gap in the median is being illegally closed to help one Sh. S.P. Garg who proposes to set up a petroleum retail outlet for which NOC has been refused by the PWD (B&R) Department.

The issue involved in this writ petition involves disputed questions of fact which can be best decided in a civil suit. A civil suit has already been filed and thus, I do not find any good ground to exercise jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

November 26, 2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No