

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRWP No. 9652 of 2020

Date of Decision:23.11.2020

POOJA RANI

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. D.D.Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner has prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents i.e. respondents No.1 to 3 for protection of her life and liberty from being invaded by respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Petitioner claimed herself to be qualified nurse having done course in Nursing as GNM in the year 2015 after completing her 10+2. Petitioner had joined service as staff nurse in Maharaja Agarsen Hospital at Delhi in the year 2015. Respondents No.4 to 6 are adamant to marry the petitioner with the boy of their own choice to which she does not agree as she wants to settle her career first and thereafter she can think of marriage. Petitioner has further pleaded that on 27.10.2020,

private respondents called a boy, namely, Naveen Kumar from Najafgarh along with his family at the house for materializing the proposal of marrying the petitioner. Petitioner is not interested to get married at this stage and she refused to the proposal, but the family members of the petitioner pressurized her for matrimonial tie and she was forced to resign from government job. Petitioner has resigned from the government job on 09.11.2020. Private respondents have threatened the petitioner of dire consequences if she does not agree with their mind set. They did not allow the petitioner to step out of her house.

For the grievance in question, the petitioner has already moved a complaint before the Superintendent of Police, Jhajjar- respondent No.2 on 10.11.2020 (Annexure P-5) but no action has been taken on the same so far. Petitioner again sent the complaint through email on 15.11.2020 to respondent No.2 and the same has also not yielded any positive result.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana Accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents as no order prejudicial to interest of any party is

being passed.

In view of above, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded herein above. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioner is decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

November 23 , 2020

anita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No