

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33578-2019

Date of Decision: 20.02.2020

Gurtej Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in cross case (FIR No.125 dated 27.06.2019), under Sections 307, 506 and 34 IPC, 1860 and Section 25 Arms Act, 1959 vide Rapat No.26 dated 30.06.2019, registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda. He apprehended his arrest at the hands of police in the above said FIR.

On 20.08.2019, the following order was passed by the Court:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was registered at the instance of Jagjit Singh against the complainant in the cross case and others for having committed an offence punishable under Section 302 IPC who have set up the cross version after 3 days. He further contends that even in the cross version only 'lalkara' is attributed to the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 06.12.2019

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail

on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Thereafter, on 21.01.2020, it was brought to the notice of the Court that the petitioner has failed to join the investigation and another opportunity was granted to him to join the investigation within a period of one week.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Jaswinder Singh has apprised the Court that the petitioner has failed to comply with the directions given on 21.01.2020.

This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the conduct of the petitioner, who is not complying with the directions passed by this Court, this Court is not inclined to extend the extra ordinary concession of pre-arrest bail to him.

The petition is dismissed.

20.02.2020
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No