

209-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 38426 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 17.12.2020

Ashish

....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Athar Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No.0120 dated 26.09.2020, registered under Sections 341, 323, 452,
506, 34 IPC at Police Station Sector 11, Chandigarh.

The operative part of the order dated 23.11.2020, vide
which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is
reproduced as under:-

*“...Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon
pendency of CRM-M No.35135 of 2020 titled 'Rohit vs
Union Territory Chandigarh' to contend that the offences
except Section 452 IPC are bailable in nature. Even if the
alleged complicity of the petitioner is to be presumed in
terms of inflicting grievous injury on the person of
Mahipal, the same shall not go beyond the bailable
offence.*

Notice of motion for 17.12.2020....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

to the order dated 23.11.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Bhupinder Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 23.11.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

17.12.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No