

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

250-A

Crl. Misc. M- 33612 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.02.2020

Satnam Singh and Ors.

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Reena, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, Asstt. A.G. Punjab.

Ms. Seema, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate
for the respondents No.2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of cross case GD No.26 dated 03.08.2018 registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC in FIR No.68 dated 02.08.2018 under Sections 323, 326, 354, 506, 341, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P-3).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant- on the allegations that the accused-petitioners gave beatings to the complainant party. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have

resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate at Amritsar, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondents No.2 and 3 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and cross case GD No.26 dated 03.08.2018 registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC in FIR No.68 dated 02.08.2018 under Sections 323,326, 354, 506, 341, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

February 07, 2020
manju

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No