

(244) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.23736 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:28.02.2020

Surender Lal Rai Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors. ... Respondent

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for Mr. Deepak Balyan,

Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate for Mr. Rajesh Sheoran,

Advocate for respondent No.5.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 4 states that claim for change of date of birth at the fag end of service on the eve of retirement is not permissible in view of decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Union of India v. Ram Sua Sharma, 1996 (7) SCC 421.**

[2] Despite the case having been called out twice, none is present on behalf of the petitioner nor for that matter was anybody present on behalf of the petitioner on the previous date. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the writ petition.

[3] Dismissed for want of prosecution.

[4] After the order had been dictated Mr. Jaiparkash Ahlawat,

Advocate appeared and sought adjournment. The request was declined and

counsel was given opportunity to address arguments. However, counsel appearing for the petitioner expresses his inability to address arguments.

[5] Order stands. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

28.02.2020
amit

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.