

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-9541 of 2020

Date of Decision: 24.11.2020

Sakunat & another

...Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Rishu Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents no.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents no.4 to 6, not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel submits that the reply on behalf of the State has already been filed with the registry and on the basis of the reply, he can submit that statements of parents of petitioner no.1-girl have been recorded, wherein they have stated that petitioner no.1 has solemnised

marriage with petitioner no.2 of her own sweet will and thus, respondents no.4 to 6 have no objection to the aforesaid marriage.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned State counsel, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is hereby dismissed as infructuous.

November 24, 2020
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No