

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9546-2020

Date of Decision:18.11.2020

Remansi and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7. The Aadhar Card and certificate evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexure P-1 to P-3, respectively. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 14.11.2020 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta,

accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, Mr. Suneel Ranga, Advocate, appears for respondent No.4-Karnail Singh, who is the father of petitioner No.1-Remansi and states that Karnail Singh, respondent No.4 has already filed a habeas corpus petition before this Court and it stands adjourned to 04.12.2020.

This Court has also interacted with petitioner No.1 through video conferencing, who specifically stated that she is happily residing with her husband-petitioner No.2.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioners, and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

18.11.2020

ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No