

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

Civil Writ Petition No.19600 of 2020
Date of Decision: November 18th, 2020

Honey Kansal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that the rules and regulations as framed and required to be followed by the respondents while changing the ward number have not been complied with rendering the notification, which has been issued, to be illegal. The procedure, as was required to be followed, has not been so done. In the light of the Division Bench judgment of this Court in *Rakesh Sharma Versus The State of Punjab and others 2009 (2) PLR 533*, the notification which is impugned herein, deserves to be set aside.

Notice of motion.

Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Mr. Puneel Goel, Director, Local Government, makes a statement that the notification dated 09.11.2020 (Annexure P-4) and the letter dated 09.11.2020 along with the final notification dated 09.11.2020 (Annexure

P-5) will be withdrawn and the procedure as prescribed under the statute and the rules framed thereunder, will be duly followed with regard to the notification of the wards.

In the light of the statement made by learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous binding the respondents-State to the statement of the learned Additional Advocate General.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

November 18th, 2020
Puneet

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No