

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**CWP-19636-2020(O&M)
Date of Order:18.12.2020**

ANUJ SIHAN

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Anirudh Indora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conference on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner has been transferred from Rohtak to Jhajjar which is at a distance approximately 40 Kms.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the wife of the petitioner is also posted at Rohtak and it is a 'couple case'.

This Court in CWP No.12792 of 2020 after relying upon various judgments passed by the Supreme Court has already held that the transfer policy is for the purpose of guidance of the officers and it does not create any right in favour of an employee. The petitioner is posted on a transferable post. He was also appointed under the Rules which provide that the petitioner can be transferred at any place within the State.

If the petitioner wishes, he may make an application for transfer of his wife to Jhajjar which shall be sympathetically considered by the authorities.

However, no ground to interfere with the order of transfer is made out. Dismissed.

December 18, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No