

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

**CRM-M-38347 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Order:25.11.2020**

Gursewak Singh @ Gaggi @ Gogi

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ajeet Pal Singh Pakka, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. V.G.Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

This is application no.3 filed by the petitioner, for grant of bail pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No.125, dated 26.05.2018, registered under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

Sh. V.G.Jauhar, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, learned counsel appearing for the State of Punjab has brought to the notice of the court that the prosecution has already recorded its entire evidence and the case is now fixed for defence evidence.

As per the case of the prosecution 1200 tablets of Tredol were recovered, which falls in the category of commercial quantity.

Keeping in view the stage of the trial of the case, this court is not inclined to consider the request for grant of bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is ready to record deposition of the defence witnesses, if any, through video conferencing.

Hence, learned trial court is requested to make an arrangement for recording of the defence evidence through video conferencing and conclude the trial positively, within a period of 3 months.

Disposed of accordingly.

November 25, 2020

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

: Yes/No

: Yes/No