

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.445 of 2015
Date of decision:30.01.2020

Balraj Singh Rangi ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the promotion of the petitioner to the post of Executive Engineer was deferred on account of matter regarding issuance of charge sheet being under contemplation whereas in view of well settled law mere contemplation of issuance of charge sheet is no ground for denying promotion.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents states that on the basis of proceedings conducted qua the charge sheet issued to the petitioner, punishment of warning has been imposed by the Managing Director of the Corporation and that in view of instructions received by him, from the Managing Director of the Corporation, claim of the petitioner for grant of promotion would be considered as per rules applicable and benefits admissible released as per entitlement.

3. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that the respondents be directed to pass orders vis-à-vis the claim of the petitioner in a time bound manner.

4. In the light of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner for promotion along with consequential benefits by taking into account all aspects of the matter including the date on which juniors of the petitioner were promoted, within four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

30.01.2020

rajesh.k.khurana

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |