

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH VC)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.19530 of 2020

Date of decision: November 18, 2020

Pawan Kumar Bhardwaj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Augustine George Masih, J. (oral)

Petitioner has approached this court praying for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the Chief Administrator, Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran, Sector 6, Panchkula (respondent No.2) as well as Estate Officer-II, Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran, Gurgaon (respondent No.3) to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 21.09.2020 (Annexure P-13) for restoration of the originally allotted plot No.330 in Sector 52, Gurugram, possession of which could not be earlier given to the petitioner because there being litigation relating to the said plot. It is asserted that the said litigation has come to an end and in this regard order passed by this court in RSA No.571 of 2012 (Annexure P-10) dated 08.07.2020 and order dated 08.09.2020, passed in CWP No.4514 of 2016 (Annexure P-12) titled as *Khazan Singh & others Vs. State of Haryana and*

others have been appended. It is asserted that the petitioner had been approaching the respondents with the prayer for issuance of the restoration order of originally allotted plot No.330 in Sector 52, Gurugram, but without any avail. The latest application is dated 21.09.2020 (Annexure P-13), which has been addressed to respondents No.2 & 3. The said application, he prays, may be decided at an early date.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 states that she has received instructions from the said respondents that the representation/application, which have been submitted by the petitioner dated 21.09.2020 (Annexure P-13) will be considered and a decision taken thereon within a period of four weeks.

In the light of the statement made by counsel for respondents No.2 & 3, the present petition is disposed of binding respondents No.2 & 3 by the statement made by their counsel in court. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

November 18, 2020
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No