

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9643-2020

Date of Decision: 23.11.2020

Honey

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate,
legal aid counsel, for the petitioner.

Mr. Balbir Singh Sewak, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 4 to release the petitioner on temporary parole for a period of six weeks under Section 3(1) (d) of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary) Release Act, 1962.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner admits that the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, vide letter dated 18.04.2020, has only submitted a report to the District Magistrate, Sangrur, regarding the parole case of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel points out that the District Magistrate is the final authority to pass an order in the present matter.

There is no order to show that the District Magistrate, Sangrur has rejected the case of the petitioner for releasing him on parole.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after annexing the order, if any, passed by the District Magistrate, Sangrur, rejecting the case of the petitioner for parole.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

23.11.2020
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No