

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37874-2020
Date of Decision: 25.11.2020**

Vinay Pratap Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent-State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner in case FIR No.81 dated 08.06.2020, under Sections 307, 452, 120-B & 34 of the IPC and Sections 25 & 54 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal.

[2]. With reference to the noting made in the order passed yesterday, Ld. State counsel submits in all fairness that during investigation complicity/involvement of the petitioner is established from the own statement of the complainant to the effect that he had been threatened by the petitioner of being shot at, two days prior to the occurrence. Apart from that there is no direct material to show his involvement in the occurrence.

[3]. Considering that his custody is no longer required in the interest of investigation as the Challan has already been submitted, and he has remained in detention for almost three months now, without commenting any further on the merits of the present case as a whole, but in

view of the detention undergone by the petitioner and the fact that the trial is likely to take its own considerable time due to ongoing Covid-19 Pandemic, as such, the prayer of the petitioner for regular bail is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail subject to appropriate terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

[4]. Disposed off.

25.11.2020

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No