

CWP No.19519-2020

Date of Decision:17.11.2020

Rajjiv Thakran

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court.]

The claim of the petitioner for allotment of a plot under the “oustee quota” in terms of the policies, has been rejected on the ground that only 35% of his land was acquired, whereas the land to the extent of 75% of total owned by the land owner in the concerned revenue estate, should have been acquired for entitlement to be considered as an oustee in terms of the policies.

At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner, in the absence of a definite pleading of complete ownership of the petitioner in the revenue estate concerned and the percentage of land acquired, prays for permission to withdraw the present writ petition to enable his client to file a fresh one with better and complete particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**(SANT PARKASH)**
JUDGE17.11.2020
mks

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No
Whether Reportable: Yes / No