

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32983-2019
Decided on : 07.02.2020**

Aman Mehta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. G. S. Jagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Devaki Anand Sullar, AAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 161, dated 24.06.2015, under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Kapurthala City, District Kapurthala and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise deed dated 03.08.2019 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

At this stage, Mr. G. S. Bains, Advocate has put in an appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2 and filed his power of attorney. The same is taken on record.

Vide order dated 9th August, 2019 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 10th September, 2019 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the CJM, Kapurthala, in

pursuance to the direction of this Court. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will. The trial Court has annexed attested photocopies of the statements of the parties alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there is no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No. 2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned CJM, Kapurthala and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 07, 2020

Mehak

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*