

101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37588-2020
Date of Decision: 16.11.2020

SAURAV ARORA @ VARAITY

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Deepak Sibal

Present: Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. H.S. Sitta, AAG Punjab

Deepak Sibal, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through Video Conferencing.

Through CRM-M-35872-2020 - Saurav Arora @ Varaity
vs State of Punjab the petitioner had earlier approached this Court
seeking anticipatory bail which petition was dismissed on 04.11.2020
through the following order:-

*“Case taken up through Video Conferencing.
The arguments raised on behalf of the
petitioner having not received a favourable response from
the Bench and not to invite a decision on merits lest it
may prejudice the case of the petitioner, learned counsel
for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition.
Permitted to do so.
Dismissed as withdrawn.”*

A perusal of the afore quoted order clearly reveals that
learned counsel for the petitioner had raised before this Court all
possible pleas for grant of the petitioner's anticipatory bail but when

the same did not receive a favourable response from the Bench to avoid a decision on merits lest it may prejudice the case of the petitioner at a later stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner had sought and got permission to withdraw the petitioner's petition.

The present petition which is the second one seeking anticipatory bail has been filed without there being any change in circumstance or a fresh ground after the passing of the afore quoted order and therefore the same is found to be devoid of any merit especially when the petitioner and his co-accused are alleged to have inflicted as many as nine injuries on the complainant party and are sought to be prosecuted for attempt to murder.

Dismissed.

16.11.2020

gk

**(Deepak Sibal)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No