

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.32988 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.10.2020**

TEJPREET SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, D.A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Shivya Sehgal, Advocate
for respondents No.6 to 8.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner has preferred this petition for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect his life and personal liberty as he and his family members have been threatened to be implicated in some false case at the instance of respondents No.6 to 8.

Perusal of short reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of

Police, Licensing & Security, Amritsar City would show that the petitioner has a dispute with his wife. Petitioner had given a complaint to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar against his wife, father-in-law and brother-in-law (respondents No.6 to 8) with the allegations that on 15.02.2019, the aforesaid respondents along with unknown persons came outside the house of his parents and extended threats to eliminate them and also for getting them involved in a false case.

The aforesaid complaint was marked to SHO, P.S. Islamabad, Amritsar City by the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar and the inquiry was entrusted to the Incharge of Police Post Kabir Park of Police Station Islamabad, Amritsar City. The inquiry was conducted on 30.03.2019. On joint request further proceedings were deferred, but thereafter both the parties did not turn up. The inquiry officer tried to contact the petitioner many a times, but he did not give any satisfactory answer except to say that the negotiations are going on and in case the same do not fructify, he would get his statement recorded with the Police. Thereafter, he did not turn up before the Inquiry Officer. Petitioner's house was also found locked. Neither the petitioner, nor his family members were found residing on the given address. Even the mobile number of the petitioner was found to be switched off.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.6 to 8 on the

other hand submitted that FIR No.113 dated 19.08.2019 has been registered against the petitioner for the offences under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC in Police Station Women District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

In view of aforesaid factual position, no indulgence can be given to the petitioner. This petition is accordingly dismissed.

October 26, 2020

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No