

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

Sr.No.105

CRWP No.9455 of 2020

Date of Decision: 16.11.2020

Neha Anand and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Rahul Kumar Adia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners points out that due to typographical error, petitioner No.1 has been mentioned as wife of Rahul Thakur instead of Vishal Thakur, in the array of the petitioners and similarly, respondent No.5 has also been wrongly mentioned as Meenu whereas her actual name is Manju Bala. He seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one with correct/rectified particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

16.11.2020.

geeta

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No