

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.240 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: January 08, 2020

Suman Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE

Present: Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Jitender K. Sehrawat, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Ritu Bahri, J.(oral)

Petitioner in this case was appointed on contractual basis as Steno Typist in the year 2012, subject to submission of agreement (Annexure P-8). She was given extensions vide order dated 5.3.2013 (Annexure P-11) and order dated 16.9.2013 (Annexure P-12). Petitioner says that she was never given extension after 15.3.2016. However, on 11.4.2016 she was sent on election duty vide order, Annexure P-13. The petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to make payment of salary w.e.f. 16.03.2016, as she cannot be displaced by another adhoc arrangement before regular employees joined, keeping in view judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of *Hargurpratap Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others* (Annexure P-16).

On notice of this petition, reply has been filed by respondents No.4 & 5. They have pleaded that pursuant to advertisement dated 19.10.2016 (Annexure P-15), two posts of Steno Typist were advertised on

contract basis. The petitioner participated in that selection process and as per the result (Annexure R-1), petitioner was selected and joined on 16.09.2013 under outsourcing policy. It is stated in para 2 of the reply that pursuant to advertisement, petitioner alongwith 24 other candidates appeared before the committee on 15.12.2016 and none of the candidates qualified the test conducted at Government ITI, Hisar. Petitioner secured zero marks in English shorthand, Zero marks in Hindi shorthand, Zero marks in English typing, 6 marks in Hindi typing and 6 marks in Computer Science. Hence, petitioner did not pass the exam/interview conducted by the interview committee for the post of Steno Typist on 15.12.2016. Detailed result has been annexed as Annexure R-5.

Since petitioner participated in the selection process and not qualified the test/interview, she was not entitled to be appointed on contract basis. The petition is hereby dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

January 08, 2020
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No