

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110)

CRWP no.9480 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.11.2020

Shinda Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Hitan Nehra, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 Pandemic.

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent no.2 to protect his life and liberty, with a further prayer made for issuance of a direction to respondent no.2 to take legal action against respondents no.4 to 10, in terms of FIR no.84, dated 06.06.2018, registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in respect of his grievance, the petitioner has made a representation to the SSP (Rural), Amritsar, on 14.09.2020 (copy Annexure P-3), on which allegedly no action has been taken so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the SSP to consider his representation and to take action thereon as per law.

That being so, without making any comment on the correctness or otherwise of the contentions raised in the petition, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the SSP (Rural), Amritsar, to go into the matter and to take action if any is required, as per law, while ensuring of course that the life and liberty of the petitioner is duly protected as per law.

16.11.2020

vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes

Whether Reportable : No