

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRM-M-37470-2020
Decided on : 18.12.2020

Sagar @ Samrat

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Sahil Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.36 dated 21.02.2017 registered under Sections 392, 397, 506 IPC, 1860 and Section 25 Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Chhaper District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that it is a case of false implication and he is not even remotely connected with the alleged crime. It has been further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.04.2017 and since challan has been filed only recently the trial is unlikely to conclude in the near future. Hence, a prayer has been made that the petitioner may be extended the concession of regular bail.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a man of criminal antecedents as there are two more FIRs registered against him under the Arms Act etc. On a pointed query put to learned State counsel as to the reason of delay in the conclusion of the trial, this Court has

been apprised that delay has occurred on account of the fact that in the FIR in question there are four other accused, who are facing trial before different Courts, which is the reason for the trial being held up in the FIR in question as the co-accused have to be taken on production warrants to different courts.

Heard.

In view of the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and the serious allegations levelled in the FIR against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to extend the concession of regular bail of the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.12.2020
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No