

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

Civil Writ Petition No.19222 of 2020
Date of Decision: November 12th, 2020

Vikash Dabas

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Rajesh Sheoran, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that despite a plot having been allotted to the petitioner, till date possession has not been granted and the property management record of the plot has also not been updated. Because of non-issuance of the possession, the other claims, which are being put forth at the hands of the petitioner, are not sustainable. Highlighting this aspect, petitioner has submitted a representation dated 27.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) to the Estate Officer, Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran, Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar-respondent No.3, which has till date not been decided.

Counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 states that the said representation of the petitioner would be considered and decided within a period of four weeks.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Chief Administrator, Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran, Panchkula-respondent No.2 and Estate Officer, Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran, Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar-respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation dated 27.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from today.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

November 12th, 2020
Puneet

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No