

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19313-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.11.2020

Narender Kausal and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a direction to respondent the respondents to consider and decide the objections dated 29.07.2020 (Annexure P-2) and further to take action on application dated 21.10.2020 (Annexure P-4) as also on letter dated 02.11.2020 (Annexure P-5).

Learned counsel contends that the *wardbandi* of the village has been done in an illegal and arbitrary manner. However, at this stage, he would be satisfied, in case, a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra, to consider and decide application dated 21.10.2020 (Annexure P-4), in a time-bound manner.

Heard.

Considering the nature of prayer made, we are not inclined to issue notice of motion. A complete copy of the paper-book has already been supplied to learned State counsel.

Accordingly, without adverting to the merits of the case, respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra, is directed to consider and decide application dated 21.10.2020 (Annexure P-4), expeditiously, preferably, within eight weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed, within a period of six weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

12.11.2020

atulsethi

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No