

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

**CRWP No.9438 of 2020
Date of decision:12.11.2020**

SOVIET BHANOT

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr.Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner has preferred this petition for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect his life and personal liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Petitioner has alleged incriminating allegations against respondents No.4 and 5 in the context of distributorship of VIVO mobile company. According to the petitioner, huge amount has been paid to the private respondents.

Precisely, for the grievance in question, petitioner has

already approached respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 21.10.2020 (Annexure P-2), but the same has not been decided so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Ms.Jaspreet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of above, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to take into consideration the representation dated 21.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner and shall decide the same in accordance with law, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

November 12, 2020

anita

Whether reasoned/speaking

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No