

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CRWP-9387-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.11.2020

Akashdeep and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of
the Constitution of India with necessary directions to respondents No. 2 and
3 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to
10.

In this petition, it is alleged that petitioners got married on
08.11.2020 against the wishes of aforesaid respondents, who are related to
petitioner No.2.

Admittedly, petitioner No.1 is less than 21 years of age.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf
of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that representation dated 08.11.2020 (Annexure P-4) has already been given to respondent No.2 by the petitioners for the sake of to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 10 .

Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that he will be satisfied if necessary direction is given to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and to do the needful on the basis of representation dated 08.11.2020 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law.

In the light of the above, without commenting with regard to validity of marriage of the petitioners, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to consider and decide representation dated 08.11.2020 (Annexure P-4) and if any threat perception is found, to do the needful, in accordance with law.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

12.11.2020
Pardeep

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No