

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 23285 of 2017

Date of decision: 29.01.2020

Dharmender Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

HPGCL and others.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Neha Anand Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents No. 1 to 5

Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondents No. 12 to 27

B.S. Walia, J. Oral

1. Learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 5 frankly admits that no decision has been taken on the objections (Annexure P.9) dated 12.05.2017 submitted by the petitioner to the revised seniority list of JEs working in HPGCL as circulated vide memo No. CH133/EOM/PC-11/VOL-VII 27.04.2017 and that in case some time is granted, decision would be taken on the same, in accordance with law by the competent authority. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties

the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the objections (Annexure P.9) dated 12.05.2017, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

3. Copy of the decision taken be communicated to the petitioner within a period of two weeks thereafter. Needless to mention, in case the petitioner is aggrieved with the decision on objections (Annexure P.9), he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(B. S. Walia)
Judge

January 29, 2020
pry

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No