

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 19816 of 2020

Date of Decision: November 23, 2020

Dhiraj Pacherwal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was appointed as a Clerk on contract basis through an outsourcing agency. The appointment was made in the year 2016 and was extended from time to time. Meanwhile, the petitioner was given the posting of Information Attendant. Vide order dated 19.10.2020 (Annexure P-4) the services of the petitioner as well as some others who were working on the post of Information Attendant have been dispensed with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order dated 19.10.2020 is arbitrary as work exists.

However, apart from the bald assertion in this regard there is nothing on record to substantiate this submission.

Thus, I do not find any valid reason to interfere in the impugned order. The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

November 23, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**