

**CM No. 1692-CWP-2020 in/and
CWP No. 2672 of 2016**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(102) CM No. 1692-CWP-2020 in/and
CWP No. 2672 of 2016
Date of Decision : 14.02.2020**

Jaspal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Singh Rawal, Advocate for the applicant-
petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-1692-CWP-2020

Present application has been filed for recalling the order dated 23.01.2020, by which, the present writ petition was dismissed for non-prosecution.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He raises no objection for the grant of prayer as raised in the present application.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. The order dated

23.01.2020 is recalled and the writ petition is restored to its original number

and status.

CWP-2672-2016

In the present writ petition, the grievance raised by the petitioner is that all the benefits for which the petitioner is entitled for, have not been released to him despite his entitlement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the writ petition, keeping in view the averments made in the reply, certain payments have been released to the petitioner but still, according to the petitioner, total amount of GPF for which he is entitled, has not been released and the petitioner has already sought information under the Right to Information Act to substantiate his claim that the amount of GPF, which has been released to him, is incorrect.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation to the respondents in case, after the supply of the information as sought under the Right to Information Act, it gives the right to the petitioner to claim further amount in respect of the GPF and the respondents be directed to decide the said claim within a timeframe by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned State counsel states that in case any grievance is raised by the petitioner by filing representation, the same will be considered and decided by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of three months of the receipt of the said representation and in case, petitioner is found entitled for any amount after the said decision, the same will be released to him within a period of one month thereafter.

Keeping in view the above, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner does not press this writ petition any further and may be disposed of having been not pressed at this stage.

Disposed of accordingly.

February 14, 2020
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*