

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32570-2019 (O&M)
Decided on : 07.02.2020

Rahul Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Vishva Nath Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.103 dated 26.10.2018 registered under Sections 363, 366, 342, 506 and 377 IPC and Sections 6 and 17 of POCSO Act at Police Station Women Sector 16, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the prosecutrix and co-accused Ran Bahadur Singh @ Samar were in relationship and when the said accused refused to get married with the prosecutrix, the instant FIR was lodged by her, only to grab money. It has also been contended that the petitioner is behind bars since 17.12.2018 and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing the grant of regular bail to the petitioner on instructions from SI Kurlu Ram submits the 17 out of 20 prosecution witnesses have been examined and only formal witnesses remained to be examined. He further submits that the prosecutrix has fully supported the case of the prosecution.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the fact that 17 out of 20 prosecution witnesses have already been examined and the trial is likely to conclude in the near future, no ground is made out to grant the regular bail to the petitioner. In the circumstances, present petition is dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

07.02.2020

sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No